

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,716	10/29/2003	Anne Louise Miller	1443.015US2 5586		
21186 7	7590 . 06/13/2005		EXAM	INER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938			TAWFIK, SAMEH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/695,71	6	MILLER, ANNE LOUISE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sameh H.		3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>11 April 2005</u> .						
2a)□								
3)□								
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<u> </u>							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of the No(s)/Mail Date 10292003.	(PTO-948) or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate)-152)			

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I (claims 11-14) in the reply filed on 04/11/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that since group I and II can efficiently and effectively searched in a single search with no additional burden to the examiner, the search and examination of the entire application will be a must, the scope of the search should not vary regardless of which sets of claims are examined. Further, applicant argues that the examiner originally believed claims 11-21 belonged in the same class, and the claims now divided in the into two other groups. This is not found persuasive because as set forth in paper Num. 03032005 each of the indicated group require search in different area, group I require search on 493 area and group II require search on 053 area and this will burden the examiner. The case was first assigned to different Art Unit and was restricted by an examiner there in paper Num. 12142004, then applicant elections the case had to be transferred to Art Unit. 3721, which the examiner noticed the elected claims 11-21 further need to be restricted to two different groups as disclosed in paper Num. 03082005.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 10/29/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In (claim 11, lines 8 and 9) "..utilizing a larger muscle group as compared to the muscles needed to produce a pinch force,.." is vague and indefinite, because it is not clear what applicant is referring to?; etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chalin et al. (3,873,735).

Chalin discloses a method for providing an enhanced opening system for a sealed container comprising: providing a package comprising a bag having lines of weakness and a pull-tab opener secured to the bag proximate to the lines of weakness, the pull-tab opener having a pull-tab opening integral therewith, wherein the pull-tab opening is desired to be hooked with hooking means; and providing suitable markings to convey instructions to use the pull-tab opener to access the package contents "utilizing a larger muscle group as compared to the muscles needed to produce a pinch force," wherein the package is adapted to be opened easily by a person who follows the instructions conveyed by the markings (Figs. 1-13; via the printed instruction on the bag to explain how to open the bag).

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 12: further comprising providing words to convey the instructions (Figs. 1-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chalin et al. (3,873,735) in view of Forman (4,679,693).

Chalin does not disclose that the pull-tab opener is a reusable pull-tab opener with reusable securing means. However, Forman discloses a similar bag with the use of pull-tab opener is a reusable pull-tab opener with reusable securing means (Figs. 5-8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted Chalin's opening pull-tab by having a reusable pull-tab as suggested by Forman, in order to re-use the bag and keep it for longer time.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sameh H. Tawfik Patent Examiner Art Unit 3721

ST.